Defending your right to breathe smokefree air since 1976

Statewide Smokefree Law Readiness Assessment

February 2004

Enacting a state smokefree air law is challenging in the best of circumstances and can be very formidable, and even counterproductive, if the state is not ready for this level of legislation. The public needs to be fully educated about the health dangers of secondhand smoke and their right to breathe smokefree air in the workplace and in indoor public places. The state and local coalitions, local and state health departments must work together to strategize for the campaign; educate the public, business owners, and legislators; plan ahead for implementation and enforcement issues; and divide up the multitude of responsibilities associated with a statewide campaign. The tobacco industry is at its strongest at the state level, where they have a significant amount of influence through their lobbyists and financial connections.

Before embarking on a statewide smokefree air campaign, steps that ideally should already be accomplished include:

- A significant number of local coalitions engaging in policy activity at the community level.
- o Active involvement and support of the local efforts by the state coalition.
- o Public education campaigns underway throughout the state.
- o Strong smokefree air laws in effect in a significant number of communities.
- o Consensus among the state coalition that:
 - o Smokefree indoor air legislation is the priority this legislative session.
 - o Preemption language is a deal-breaker.
- o Committed, effective legislative sponsor(s).
- o Reasonable belief that a strong, non-preemptive bill can survive the legislative process intact.
- o Infrastructure to activate and communicate with grassroots supporters.
- o Assessment of resources and ability to:
 - o Effectively lobby the legislature
 - o Conduct a public education campaign, including paid media.
- o Polling results demonstrating strong public support for a statewide law.
- o Thinking ahead towards the infrastructure for implementing and enforcing a statewide law.

The preparation and organization involved in a statewide campaign can be overwhelming, but you will be better prepared to handle the challenges by thinking through all the components ahead of time. The following are questions to help you determine whether your state is ready for a statewide smokefree air law.

Local Activity

1. Are smokefree ordinances being actively pursued in local municipalities at this time?	Yes
	No

2 a. Use the chart below to enter the names of municipalities that have enacted local smokefree indoor air laws and select the types of establishments these laws cover. Please note if a type of establishment is 100% smokefree (the establishment is smokefree in its entirety at all times).

Community	Municipal Buildings	Public Places	Private Workplaces	Restaurants	Freestanding Bars
Springfield	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	No
1.					
2.					
3.					

If you need more space, please use the additional chart on Page 9.

2 b. Do the local smokefree indoor air laws listed in the above chart include any of the following exemptions?

Community	Bar Areas of Restaurants	Separately Enclosed Smoking Rooms	Ventilation	Smoking Sign Requirements	Freestanding Bars	Other Exemption
Springfield		Yes			Yes	
1.						
2.						
3.						

If you need more space, please use the additional chart on Page 9.

3. What is the total number of municipalities in your state with smokefree indoor air laws?

4. What is the total number of municipalities in your state?

5. What is the population of your state?

(Populations can be found under Data Highlights at http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html)

6. What percentage of your state population is covered by local smokefree indoor air laws? (Population of towns with laws / total state population = percent of population with laws)

0%	26-40%
1-10%	41-60%
11-25%	60+%

7 a. Which areas of the state have not enacted smokefree indoor air policies? (ie: rural, metropolitan, north, south)

7 b. In what areas of the state have smokefree indoor air policies been defeated or repealed?

8 a. Has statew three years	vide polling data been conducts?	cted on public sup	oport for smokefree a	air laws in the	past Yes No
8 b. Use the ch	nart below to record the resul	ts of statewide po	lling data.		
	% Strongly Favor	% Favor	% Oppose	% Strongly	v Oppos
Municipal Buildin			11		, 11
Public Places					
Private Workplace	es				
Restaurants					
Bars					
8 c. How does	support vary by geographica	al region of the sta	ate?		
8 d. What are t	the areas of greatest and least	t support?			
Coalition Capacity					
o comments					
9 a. What orga	nizations are active member	s of the state coal	ition? Check all that	t apply.	
П -					
	tate Health Department	<u> </u>			
	merican Cancer Society	<u> </u>			
□ A	merican Lung Association	<u> </u>			
□ A	American Heart Association	_			
0 b What allia	es do you anticipate working	with during a stat	avida aamnaian?		
90. What ame	is do you anticipate working	with during a stat	ewide campaign!		
		□ _			
10 a. Do the or	rganizations represent the sta	te geographically	?		Yes
_					No
	Gaps:				
10 h D - 4h		:4:£19			V
10 b. Do the of	rganizations represent comm	unities of color?			Yes
П	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				No
	Saps:				
10 c. Do the or	rganizations represent other s	specific populatio	ns within the state?		Yes
10 C. DO MC O	Samzaniono represent otner t	populatio	in within the state:	<u> </u>	No
	Saps:			_	110
_ 0					

11 a. What a	re any	past or current conflicts w	ithin the co	alition ove	r legislative goals and/	or s	trategy?
11 b. What a	re any	potential conflicts of inter-	est on smol	kefree indo	or air policy and/or pre	em	ption?
12. What are 1 = high		riorities of the state coalitic iority.	n for the cu	ırrent legis	lative session? Rank th	ie p	oriorities,
	Excis Yout	tefree Indoor Air te Tax th Access	<u> </u>	Repealing	g Program Funding g Preemption Against Preemption		
		pacity of the coalition staff = little experience.	and membe	er organiza	tions? Rank their exper	tise	e, 1 = very
Staff/Organiza	tion	Grassroots Organizing	Public F	Relations	Legislative Tracking	,	Lobbying
						_	
14 a. Does th	ne coa	lition have a statewide data	base of sup	porters and	d volunteers ?		Yes No
14 b. Can the	e data	base be sorted by legislative	e district?				Yes
15 a. How m	any c	ontacts are in the database?				<u> </u>	No
15 b. What p	ercen	t of the state population is i	n the datab	ase?			%
15 c. What p	ercen	t of the state's registered vo	oters is in th	e database	?		%
15 d. How of respond		ave the supporters in the da	tabase beer	n mobilized	l and how many people	hav	ve
	Tin Pec	nes Mobilized: ople Activated:					

	coalitions? Che			on nave for co	mmunicatii	ng wi	tn members, par	tners, and
_ _ _	Mailing List Email Electronic List	tserve		Newsletter Conference (Action Alert			Phone Tree Other	
respon		one: $1 = most$	t effectiv				nered the greates nanism not used.	
_ _ _	Mailing List Email Electronic List	tserve		Newsletter Conference (Action Alert			Phone Tree Other	
	e the coalition's tate legislators?		cts in ma	ijor media mai	rkets, partio	cularl	y those serving o	districts
Outlet	Region	Served	Legisla	tive Districts	Served	I	Media Contact l	Person
18. What ki	nd of financial i	resources (am			vailable for			
	Restricted:			stricted:			-Kind:	A
Lobbying	Source	Amount	Sourc	<u>ee</u>	Amount	So	urce	Amount
Public Relations								
Paid Ads								
Phone Banks								
Direct Mail								
Organizing								
Polls								
	e a statewide pu		-	ign about seco	ondhand sm	noke?		l Yes l No
	ong has the can		C	0 (1 1 11 1	. 1		_	
	are the key mes	sages of the c	ampaign	? Check all the	nat apply.			

20. Do you tillik	me statewide campaign win o	continue if there are funding	cutbacks?					
21a. Are local coa	21a. Are local coalitions engaging in public education campaigns? Yes No							
21 b. How long ha	21 b. How long have local coalitions been working on public education campaigns?							
22 a. What are the	e regions of the state with few	ver public education resource	es?					
22 b. What are the	e plans for public education e	efforts in those areas?						
22 c. What are an	y particular challenges in me	dia/public education specific	to your state?					
23 a. Has data bee	en gathered on public attitude	es about the health effects of	Yes					
23 b. What are the			☐ No					
% Very Concerned	%Somewhat Concerned	%Not Very Concerned	% Not at All Concerned					
	en the key media outlets' pos ews items and rank coverage	_						
	ews items and rank coverage Article	c; 1 = very favorable, 3 = neu Op Ed / Editorial						
number of n	ews items and rank coverage	c; 1 = very favorable, 3 = neu Op Ed / Editorial	tral, 5 = very unfavorable.					
number of n Media Outlet	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2	c; 1 = very favorable, 3 = neu Op Ed / Editorial	tral, 5 = very unfavorable. Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 =					
number of n Media Outlet	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2	c; 1 = very favorable, 3 = neu Op Ed / Editorial	tral, 5 = very unfavorable. Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 =					
number of n Media Outlet	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2	c; 1 = very favorable, 3 = neu Op Ed / Editorial	tral, 5 = very unfavorable. Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 =					
number of n Media Outlet	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2	c; 1 = very favorable, 3 = neu Op Ed / Editorial	tral, 5 = very unfavorable. Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 =					
number of n Media Outlet Hometown Gazette	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2	Op Ed / Editorial None	tral, 5 = very unfavorable. Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 =					
number of n Media Outlet Hometown Gazette 24 b. How does su	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2 = 2, Art 3 = 5	Op Ed / Editorial None None lets vary by region?	Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 = 5, LTE 3 = 5, LTE 4 = 2 al board meetings?					
number of n Media Outlet Hometown Gazette 24 b. How does so 24 c. Have you ed	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2 = 2, Art 3 = 5 apport in different media outleted the media about smole	Op Ed / Editorial None None lets vary by region?	Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 = 5, LTE 3 = 5, LTE 4 = 2					
number of n Media Outlet Hometown Gazette 24 b. How does so 24 c. Have you ed	Article 3 articles: Art 1 = 2, Art 2 = 2, Art 3 = 5	Op Ed / Editorial None None lets vary by region?	Letter to Editor 4 LTE: LTE 1 = 1, LTE 2 = 5, LTE 3 = 5, LTE 4 = 2 al board meetings? Yes					

State Politics

	if any, statewide smokefree indoor air laws already exist? What provisions do they have following places?
	Municipal Buildings: Restaurants: Public Places: Bars: Private Workplaces: Other:
25 b. Who 6	enforces the current state law? Check all that apply.
	State Health Department Environmental Health Department County Health Departments Other: City Health Department State Labor Department Police
26 a. Has th	e coalition tried to promote smokefree indoor air legislation in the last five years? Yes No
26 b. Has th	ne legislature introduced a smokefree indoor air bill on its own in the last five years? Yes No
26 c. If yes	, what was the result?
	Died in committee Killed on the floor Weakened and enacted Preemption enacted Weakened and pulled Other:
27. What is	the governor's position on smokefree air laws?
	Supportive
28 a. What	is the legislative leaders' position on smokefree indoor air laws?
	SenateHouse/AssemblyKey Committee ChairsSupportive□ Supportive□ SupportiveUndecided□ Undecided□ UndecidedOpposed□ Opposed□ OpposedDon't Know□ Don't Know□ Don't Know
28 b. What	is the status of local smokefree indoor air policy in the legislative leaders' districts?
Senate No smoket Some weal Some stron Enacted by	ng laws

	29 a. Who i	s/are the	coalition's legislati	ve champ	oion/s?			
	Nam	<u>1e</u>		Lead	dership Positic	<u>on</u>		
	29 b. Is he/s		ng to pull a bill if it	is weaker	ned too much l	by amendments or if it be	come:	s Yes No
Preei	nption						_	110
	30 a. Does t	he coalit	tion have a formal, v	written po	olicy statement	t opposing preemption?		Yes No
	30 b. Does t	he coalit	tion require anti-pre	emption 1	language in an	y tobacco control bill?		Yes No
	31. What is	the state	s's legislative history	y and stat	us regarding p	reemption? Check all th	at app	ly.
			reemption Preemption		Repealed Pro Anticipating	-		
			coalition and legisla d the tactics used to			d on the importance of o	pposir	ng Yes No
	32 b. Do yo	ur coalit	ion and legislative s	supporters	s consider pree	emption a deal-breaker?		Yes No
	32 a. Do any contro	-	r coalition's partners	s or allies	have resolution	ons against preemption a	nd for	local
			e Municipal League e Health Partners		National He Others	ealth Partners		
	32 b. If the	y do not,	will they adopt one	e before le	egislation is in	troduced?		Yes No
	33 a. If you	ır state h	as preemption, what	t is the hi	story of preem	ption repeal attempts?		
	<u>Year</u>	<u>r</u>	Repeal At	tempt		Result		
								<u> </u>
								_

33 b. If your state has preemption, what have been the barriers to repealing preemption?

8

Implementation Infrastructure

	34 a. Will the public heal		_		☐ Y ☐ N	es o
	Conflicts:					
	34 b. Does the leadership smokefree air law?	of the state health of	lepartment support i	mplementing and enfor	□ Y	es
	35. Will the state health of	department impleme	nt and enforce a stat	ewide law?	□ Y □ N	es
	36. Is there a health depa	rtment in every cour	nty?		□ Y □ N	es o
	37. Who do you think wo	ould enforce a strong	statewide smokefre	ee law? Check all that a	apply.	
	□ Environmer □ County Hea □ Other:	Department Ital Health Departments	ent State L Police		1.0	
	38. What are plans for in air ordinances and/or				mokefree	ndoor
Opp	osition					
	39. What groups are like	ly to oppose a statew	vide campaign?			
	<u> </u>					
					_	
	40. What campaign contr	ributions have those	groups made to state	e legislators?		
	Opposition Group	Contribution	Recipient	Lobbying and/or l (Used directly or in		
L	1.					

If you need more space, please use the additional chart on Page 11.

41. What is the coalition's plan to counter this opposition?

42. Have you educated your legislative champion/s and the coalition partners about	what to expect from
the opposition?	☐ Yes
	☐ No

This assessment is a tool designed to help you think through the numerous components of a state smokefree indoor air campaign in advance. Please contact ANR at 510-841-3032 to provide feedback on this assessment or to discuss your statewide efforts.

Continuation of Chart for Question 2 a.

Community	Municipal Buildings	Public Places	Private Workplaces	Restaurants	Freestanding Bars
Springfield	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	No
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					
16.					
17.					
18.					
19.					
20.					

Continuation of Chart for Question 2 b.

Community	Bar areas of Restaurants	Separately Enclosed Smoking Rooms	Ventilation	Smoking Sign Requirement	Freestanding Bars	Other Exemption
Springfield		Yes			Yes	
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						
16.						
17.						
18.						

Continuation of Chart for Question 40.

	Opposition Group	Contribution	Recipient	Lobbying and/or PR Firm (Used directly or indirectly)	Year
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					
16.					
17.					
18.					
19.					
20.					

W:\FILES\Material\Tip Sheets\Statewide Smokefree Law Readiness Assessment (TS-19).doc